

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/070,361

Applicant(s)

HEATON ET AL.

Examiner

EBENEZER SACKKEY

Art Unit

1626

**All Participants:**(1) EBENEZER SACKKEY.(2) CHANTAL D'ATUZZO.**Status of Application:** 10/070,361

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 April 2004**Time:** 11.15 AM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:


Claims 12-20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Applicants representative, Chantal D'atuzzo, informed the Examiner that a response to the office mailed on 05/07/03 had not been filed.***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)